arXiv:astro-ph/0502376v1 18 Feb 2005

A search for hot massive extrasolar planets around nearby young stars with the adaptive optics system NACO[1]

E. Masciadri, R. Mundt, Th. Henning, C. Alvarez
Max-Planck Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany
`e-mail contact:masciadr@mpia.de`

D. Barrado y Navascués
Laboratorio de Astrofísica Espacial y Física Fundamental, INTA, Apdo. Postal 50727, E-28080 Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT

We report on a survey devoted for the search of exo-planets around young and nearby stars carried out with NACO at the VLT. The detection limit for 28 among the best available targets vs. the angular separation from the star is presented. The non-detection of any planetary mass companion in our survey is used to derive, for the first time, the frequency of the upper limit of the projected separation planet-stars. In particular, we find that in 50% of cases, no 5M$_J$ planet (or more massive) has been detected at projected separations larger than 14 AU and no 10M$_J$ planet (or more massive) has been detected at projected separations larger than 8.5 AU. In 100% of cases, these values increase to 36 AU and 65 AU respectively. The excellent sensitivity reached by our study leads to a much lower upper limit of the projected planet-star separation compared with previous studies. For example, for the β Pictoris group, ($\sim$12 Myr), we did not detect any 10M$_J$ planet at distances larger than 15 AU. A previous study carried out with 4 m class telescopes put an upper limit for 10M$_J$ planets at $\sim$60 AU. For our closest target (V2306 Oph - d = 4.3 pc) it is shown that it would be possible to detect a 10M$_J$ planet at a minimum projected separation from the star of 1 AU and a 5M$_J$ planet at a minimum projected separation of 3.7 AU. Our results are discussed with respect to mechanisms explaining planet formation and migration and to forthcoming observational strategies and future planet finder observations from the ground.

Subject headings: binaries: close—planetary system—stars:low-mass, brown dwarfs

1. Introduction

At the present time more than 100 exo-planets are known which have been mostly discovered by the indirect method of the variation of the radial velocity induced by planets orbiting around the central star [2]. It can be shown that young planets can be detected with adaptive optics (AO) imaging techniques at 8-10 meter telescopes if they are sufficiently distant from their parent star. Since it is reasonable to assume a coevality between the parent star and the planet, we can retrieve from atmospherical models (Burrows et al. 1997, Baraffe et al. 2003) that planets having a mass in the range 3-10 M$_J$ and orbiting around young late-type stars (10-200 Myr) have a typical brightness contrast with respect to the parent star of the order of 10^2-10^6, corresponding to a magnitude difference of ΔM of 5-15 mag. This means that a planet can be detected at a few tens of AUs from parent stars having distances $\leq$ 50 pc. First results on the search for young and massive planets are reported by Neuhäuser et al. (1997) using 4 m class telescopes and Macintosh et al. (2001) and Keisler et al. (2003) using 10 m class telescopes. Recently (Chauvin et al. 2004), a companion of $\sim 5\pm2$M$_J$ was detected at around 50 AU from the central star 2M1207, a very late type star (M8) in TWA Hydrae ($\sim$ 10 Myr). This object is, at the present time, the most interesting giant planet candidate ever detected and further confirmation of the orbital motion is needed.

---

[1] Based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory, Chile. Program $70.C-0777D$, $70.C-0777E$ and $71.C-0029A$

[2] Geneva Chronology Catalog 2004 - http://www.obspm.fr/encycl/catalog.html

From the point of view of the scientific motivations related to this kind of search it is certainly an important challenge to image directly a planet around another star, since it can provide a direct evidence for the existence of such a planet. At the present time only a few exo-planets have been detected with the photometric technique called *'transit'* (HD209458b - Charbonneau et al. 2000, OGLE-TR-113 (Konacki et al., 2004, Bouchy et al. 2004), OGLE-TR-56 (Torres et al., 2004), OGLE-TR-132 (Bouchy et al. 2004), OGLE-TR-111 (Pont et al., 2004) and TrRes-1 (Alonso et al., 2004)). Moreover, direct imaging is complementary to other detection methods like the radial velocity variation technique. The latter is sensitive to objects orbiting at close distances from the parent star (the radial velocity induced by the presence of a companion is indeed proportional to the inverse of the square root of the orbital distance) while the AO imaging presented here would be sensitive to planets orbiting at much larger distances.

According to statistical results retrieved by radial velocity studies (Marcy et al. 2003), most of the known exo-planets orbit at distances smaller than 1 AU and the semi-major axes of exo-planets found so far are not larger than $\sim$6 AU. Since the radial velocity technique is heavily biased towards short separations, the derived major-axis distribution is certainly not representative. Not much is known at the present about potential planets that could exist at distances larger than about 6 AU. We note that the formation of exo-planets is quite unlikely at distances of a few tens of AU. At these large distances the density of the planetesimals is low and the gravity from the central star is weak. This makes the process of planet formation inefficient and slow (Thommes et al., 1999, 2002). However, different models predict the transfer (jump) or migration of planets to distances larger than 5-10 AU from the central stars. Among these models, we recall the gravitational scattering model which claims that in multi-planetary systems, due to gravitational interactions between the planets, it can happen that the lowest mass objects are ejected on hyperbolic trajectories and some planets can move on stable orbits of a few tens of AU from the parent star. Such models were proposed by Weidenschilling & Marzari (1996) and Rasio & Ford (1996) and revised more recently by Papaloizou & Terquem (2001) and Ford et al. (2001). An alternative mechanism that could induce an outward migration is the planet-disc interaction (Veras & Armitage, 2004). It was proven that stable orbits of a few tens of AU can be attained by a few Jupiter mass planet under the effect of torques induced by the disc (i.e at early stages of the star formation) if the system has photoevaporation wind. Numerical simulations (Veras & Armitage, 2004) showed that, under the assumption of a typical solar-mass photoevaporation wind of the order of 1-5$\times10^{-9}$ M$_\odot$yr^{-1}, an outward migration of a planet with few Jupiter masses can take place with a frequency of the order of 25 % (with respect to a sample of a few hundreds of simulations). It is, therefore, interesting to find out if young and massive planets are present up to a few tens of AU from the central star.

For these reasons, we planned and performed an extended survey aiming to search for massive exo-planets orbiting around nearby and young stars using one of the best available instruments at the present time for high-contrast AO imaging observations: NACO at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) (see Section 3).

The plan of the paper is the following. In Section 2 the criteria used for the selection of the targets are presented. In Section 3 we describe the observational strategy and we provide technical information on the observations. In Section 4.1 we present our data processing, in Section 4.2 we discuss an analysis of planet-like features identified in deep images and in Section 4.3 we give a detection limit estimate for the observed targets. In Section 5 we discuss our results and finally, in Section 6 the conclusions of our study are presented.

2. Target selection

The properties of planets that we are searching for are: **(a)** the contrast ΔM between the planet and the central star should be 5-15 mag **(b)** and the planets should orbit at distances $a \geq 1$ AU from the central star.

The planet intrinsic luminosity, retrieved by evolutionary models (Burrows et al. 1997, Baraffe et al. 2003), depends on the age of the system and the mass of the planet. The magnitude of a planet depends also on the wavelength used for the observations. Due to the fact that planets strongly fade with age we selected stars as young as possible. Following the evolutionary models, we define our 'selection' ranges as follows: age $\sim$ 10-200 Myr, mass of the planets $\sim$ 3-10 M_J and wavelengths in the H and K_s bands. Obviously the nearer the target is from the observer, the smaller is the distance from the central star that we can reach and probe. Therefore, we gave priority to nearby objects. We note that most ($\sim$ 70 %) of our targets have distances $\leq$ 32 pc and only 3 objects are located between 50 and 77 pc. In order to optimize the contrast conditions, we gave priority to late-type stars (spectral type K-M). Only one target (HD 155555) has a spectral type G5IV. Table 1 and 2 summarizes the properties of the selected targets and the theoretical magnitude of planets that might orbit around them. The magnitude of the stars in the H and K_s bands are retrieved from the magnitude in V band and the spectral type (Simbad Astronomical Database[3]). Table 1 gives also the references from which the targets were selected. A relatively large fraction of targets belong to the TW Hydrae local associations, β Pictoris moving groups and Tucana/Horologium association (Zuckerman et al. 2001a, 2001b; Song et al. 2003, Barrado y Navascues et al. 1999, Barrado y Navascues 2001). The age of TW Hydrae is estimated to be $\sim$ 10 Myr (Stauffer et al. 1995), the age of β Pictoris is $\sim$ 12 Myr (Barrado y Navascues et al. 1999) and the Tucana/Horologium age is $\sim$ 30-40 Myr (Zuckerman & Webb 2000). Several other targets, members of young stellar kinematic groups, were selected from Montes et al. (2001).

We want to emphasize that the ages given in Table 1 are often approximate values, particularly for those stars which are not members of associations or moving groups. For sufficiently young low-mass stars ($\leq$ 30-50 Myr) their location in theoretical Hertzsprung Russel diagrams can be used for an age estimate. For older stars ($\geq$ 50 Myr) the isochrones are often indistinguishable from stars on the ZAMS (see for example Barrado y Navascues 1998) and therefore other age calibrators have to be employed like the stellar magnetic activity, the lithium abundance, the stellar rotation rate and the X-ray flux. In addition to these photometric signatures, the galactic space velocity is often employed for an age estimate and for defining young moving groups. Young stars are indeed characterized by low dispersion of the galactic space-velocity components (U, V, W). A few criteria exist in the literature defining precise ranges for these components (Eggen 1996, Jeffries 1995). These same criteria are employed by Montes et al. (2001).

We avoided the selection of binary systems with separations smaller than $\sim 2''$ because the probability that a planet can find a stable orbit around one of the binary component is rather small. The binary systems included in our survey are: TWA 5 ($2''$), TWA 8 ($13''$), TWA 9 ($6''$), GJ 799 ($2\overset{''}{.}8$) and BD $-17°$ 6128 ($2\overset{''}{.}2$).

3. NACO Observations

The observations were performed with the AO system NACO, consisting of the infrared camera CONICA (Lenzen et al. 1998) assisted by the adaptive optics facilities NAOS (Rousset et al. 2000) placed at the focus of the unit "Yepun" of the ESO/VLT 8 m telescope. We observed 30 targets during three observing runs: 16-19 February 2003, 20-23 July 2003 and 7-9 January 2004. Two targets (GJ 182 and GJ 179) were observed in service mode. Table 3 summarizes the observational instrumental parameters.

Due to the rather bright central star, our observational strategy was the following. First, we observed each target in a narrow-band filter (Table 3) for a few minutes in order to obtain a non-saturated point spread function (PSF). We obtained a Strehl ratio (SR) in the range 0.2-0.55 over the all runs. Second, we obtained deep and saturated ($\sim$ 20-30 min) K_s or H broad-band observations of each target (Table 3) in order to increase the detectability on the PSF wings. We used NACO at a pixel scale of 13.25 mas yielding a FOV of $14'' \times 14''$. The optical wavefront sensor was locked on the target star yielding a typical resolution of ~50 mas in K_s band.

---

[3] http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/

For the deep observations, we selected the exposure time (DIT in Table 3) of a single frame to obtain a number of counts (for the central star) larger than $\sim 10-30$ times the saturation limit. The deep images are saturated over a central diameter having a size of $0\farcs1$-$0\farcs2$. For each target, a data set of dithered frames was obtained in order to correct for sky emission and the pixel to pixel variations in sensitivity.

In a few cases (e.g. targets characterized by a smaller brightness) we used a broad-band filter with a neutral density filter (ND Short - see Table 3) instead of a narrow-band filter to obtain a non-saturated PSF. For HD 17925, due to its high brightness, we used a different observational strategy based on the absorption properties of a planet atmosphere. This target was observed with the intermediate-band filters (IB 2.09 and IB 2.24) centered out and in the methane (CH_4) absorption band ($\sim 2.24\ \mu$m). We expect that planet features would appear in the continuum (IB 2.09) but not in the absorption band (IB 2.24).

Due to the higher Strehl ratio (SR) reachable in the K_s band compared to the H band, we gave priority to the former band in order to optimize the probability to detect a low-mass companion. We chose the H band only for the oldest stars (100-200 Myr), i.e. for planets having a theoretical colour $(H\text{-}K) \lesssim -1.3$ mag. We note that, according to the COND models (Baraffe et al. 2003) (see Fig.1) and for planet masses of 3-10 M_J, the H band is comparable to the K band for an age minor than 100 Myr. For targets with an age of 100-200 Myr the gain of the H band with respect to the K band is significant.

4. Analysis

4.1. Data processing

The procedure used to reduce our data set includes IRAF[4] and ECLIPSE[5] packages. Each frame was cleaned by a bad-pixel mask and divided by the flat field. In addition, the sky background was eliminated in each frame. The final deep image (shift and add of all the frames) was obtained using a cross-correlation routine in ECLIPSE. We underline that the shift and add had to be done using a cross-correlation method since our PSFs are saturated in the central zone. Alternative methods, based on the gaussian fit to the peak of a PSF fail in such a case.

In order to save observational time, we did not observe any reference standard star but we filtered the final deep images from the low spatial frequencies using two different methods. In this way the large-scale features of the saturated PSF were removed.

In the first method, we convolved the deep image of the scientific target with a two-dimensional Gaussian function having a width roughly equivalent to the FWHM of the PSF of the star. Then we subtracted the result from the original deep image. Only features identified outside the central saturated region ($\sim 0\farcs1$-$0\farcs2$) are reliable in all cases. We note that, if the FWHM of the Gaussian function is much smaller than the FWHM of the PSF of the star, the filtering is not efficient. This means that large-scale features of the saturated PSF are not sufficiently eliminated and the potential planet-like features close to the star are not visible. If, on the contrary, the FWHM of the Gaussian function is too large, the filtering eliminates also the potential planet-like features close to the star i.e. the structures having small spatial scale.

In the second method, we selected, for each point of the deep image, an annulus. Calculating the average of these frames we obtain the estimate of the background of the deep image inside an annulus around the centre of our target. We finally subtracted the background from the original image. The annulus is characterized by an inner radius of half of the PSF $FWHM$ and an outer radius ∼3 larger than the internal radius. The central part of the PSF is excluded in the calculation of the background because it introduces an offset in the average estimation. Reducing the size of the inner radius, we increase the filtering of the low spatial frequencies. Increasing the outer radius the estimation of the background is affected by features belonging to regions far away from the central part of the PSF. We define an outer radius equal to 3 times the inner radius in order to estimate a background on a limited region around the central part of the PSF. We note that the final detection limit only weakly depends on the values of the inner and outer radius. Repeating the filtering with several combinations of inner and outer radii the final detection limit shows differences within 0.2 mag.

---

[4] IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, http://iraf.noao.edu/

[5] ECLIPSE is a data reduction package developed by ESO, N. Devillard, "The eclipse software", The messenger No 87 - March 1997

The filtering was applied in a region of $\sim 6'' \times 6''$ centred on each target. Although the two methods have differences, they are based on the same principle aiming to eliminate the large-scale features of the saturated PSFs. In Section 4.3 the similarities/differences of the two methods are discussed with respect to the results that we obtained.

4.2. Point-like features

After data reduction and filtering of all the observations as described in Sec.4.1, a visual inspection of all the deep images was performed and a few point-like structures were identified in some of the images: V343 Nor (K_s band), SAO 252852 (H band), GJ 179 (H band), BD +2° 1729 (H band), BD +1° 2447 (H band), GJ 183 (H band) and HD 221503 (H band). All the other targets did not reveal any point-like sources around them. We discuss here the nature of these objects trying to distinguish between artifacts (ghost images) and faint point sources at low galactic latitude.

We have verified that all the targets observed in H band show three point-like features at the same position at about $1''$ from the star. Fig.2 shows deep images of two of these targets: BD +2° 1729 and BD +1° 2447. Three point-like features are visible (indicated by arrows and letters A, B and C) at the same relative angular separation from the star. These features are probably 'ghost' images produced by some imperfection of the broad-band filter or static aberration residuals that depend on the wavelength. This could justify the fact that they are visible only in H band.

In only two deep images (V343 Nor and SAO 252852 - Fig.3) we observed clear point-like sources different from those created by the H filter that we have just described. Fig.3a shows the deep image of SAO 252852 (galactic latitude $= -4.6°$). An object at around 25-30 AU from the star is visible. The estimated magnitude of this object is ∼17 mag in H band. Due to the low galactic latitude of SAO 252852, the probability that this feature is a background star is not negligible. Fig.3b shows the deep image of V343 Nor (galactic latitude $= -1.9°$). Several sources were detected around this star. The estimated magnitude of all the sources is in the range 15-20 mag. We note that a 5M_J planet orbiting around this 12 Myr old star would have ∼ 16 mag in K band. Besides this, we observe that the galactic latitude of V343 Nor is relatively low (-1.9) and several among these point-like sources appear a few arcsec away from the central star. Thus the probability that these sources are background stars is quite high. We can not totally exclude that the faint sources around SAO 252852 and V343 Nor are gravitationally bound massive planets. For this reason, a second epoch observation is necessary in order to clarify the nature of the detected point-like sources. Knowing that the proper motions (Hipparcos catalog, Perryman et al. 1997) of SAO 252852 are (μ_α= -202 mas/yr and μ_δ= -270 mas/yr) and those of V343 Nor are (μ_α= -52.87 ± 1.16 mas/yr and μ_δ= -105.99 ± 0.98 mas/yr) we deduce that after one year a re-observation could throw light on the nature of these objects. A forthcoming run is planned to definitely verify if these objects are indeed background stars. We remind the reader that an estimation of the probability that a point source having a faint magnitude comparable to ours in a field of view of $2''$ is a background star was done for a galactic latitude of ∼ +15° (Brandner et al. 2000). A probability of 70% was estimated in that case. Our point sources are placed at a lower galactic latitudes ($-1.9°$ and $-4.6°$), and the point-sources are placed at around $2''$ from the central star (in the SAO 252852 case, Fig.3) and at distances larger than 2″ (in the V343 Nor case, Fig.3). We conclude, that the probability that such sources are background stars is larger than 70%.

We conclude, thus, that no very promising massive-planet candidate was identified in our survey.

4.3. Estimate of detection limits

To estimate quantitatively the detection limit of our deep images as a function of the angular separation from the central star, we used the following procedure. Along a radial direction we calculated the standard deviation of the intensity over a box of $d \times d$ pixels (with typical values of d of 4-6 pixels which corresponds to the FWHM of the non-saturated PSFs) and one pixel step. We then averaged over all radial directions (360°) and finally we calculated the contrast ΔM at 5σ with respect to the peak of the non-saturated PSF of the star. Since the central star is saturated in the broad-band images we had to use the fluxes measured in the non-saturated narrow-band filters (see Table 3) to calculate what peak flux our saturated broad-band images would have if they were not saturated. The non-saturated PSF had to be multiplied by a constant factor (A) to take into account the different transmission and width of the filters. If F_2 is the filter used to obtain the saturated PSF and F_1 the one used to obtain a non-saturated PSF, A is given by:

$$A = \frac{FWHM_{F2}}{FWHM_{F1}} \cdot \frac{Tr_{F2}}{Tr_{F1}} \cdot \frac{DIT_{F2}}{DIT_{F1}} \tag{1}$$

where Tr is the filter transmission coefficient, $FWHM$ is the full width half maximum of the filters and DIT is the integration time of each single frame (Table 3).

In order to illustrate that our detection limit is reasonable, we scaled the non-saturated PSF of one of our targets (V343 Nor) to a flux value corresponding to a 5M$_J$ planet (representative of the typical planets we are interested in) and we placed this 'artificial planet' in the deep image at different angular separations from the star. Fig.4 (a)-(b) shows the filtered deep images of the same target processed with the two filtering methods described above (Section 4.1). This "artificial planet" is placed at 1″ and 0.″7 from the central star. Fig.4 (a)-(b) shows that a separation of 0.″7 is roughly the minimum angular separation at which a 5M$_J$ planet can be detected.

Figures 5-8 show the detection limits as a function of angular separation for 28 objects of our survey for which deep images have been obtained. We note that, in one case (GJ 207.1), a technical problem did not allow to observe the non-saturated PSF and this prevented us to calculate the detection limit. In the case of the binary GJ 799, we could calculate only the detection limit for GJ 799 A. The separation of ∼ 2.″8 between the two components was slightly too large to include both stars in the same frame in all the dithered positions.

For all targets, the detection limit is reliable starting for angular separations ≥ 0.″1. For angular separations ≤ 0.″1 the PSF of the deep images is usually saturated. Fig.8 shows the detection limit of the two targets (HD 17925 and BD -17° 6128) that we observed through the more narrow "methane band filters" (see Section 3). Since the optical quality of these intermediate-band filters is worse compared to the broad- and narrow-band filters, the final deep images appear dominated by structures characterized by high spatial frequencies. For this reason, the filtering is less efficient for these two deep images (the dashed, dotted and full thin lines are quite similar - Fig.8).

From Fig.5, 6, 7 we can see that:

- The detection limit of the filtered deep images is very similar for the two different filtering methods. This indicates that the achieved gain from the applied 'filtering' is practically method independent.

- The filtering of large spatial structures (low spatial frequencies) has a variable efficiency. The angular separation range over which the filtering shows its maximum efficiency is 0.″5-1.″5 from the central star. In this region the gain in contrast ΔM with respect to the non-filtered image ranges from 0.5 to 2 mag.

- The detection limit is not the same for all the stars. This is not surprising because it depends on several parameters: the age, the magnitude of the central star, the wavelength used for the observations, the distance of the star from the observer and also on the exposure time. In one case (the brown dwarf TWA 5B), the detection limit is rather low because we did not saturate the PSF since the central star is relatively faint.

To calculate the typical performances of NACO at subarcsecond distances, we averaged the contrast ΔM obtained at $0\farcs5$ and $1''$ for all the observed targets having a total integration time within the range 20-30 minutes. We find, in the K_s band, a $\Delta M = 9$ mag at $0\farcs5$ and a $\Delta M = 11.5$ mag at $1''$. We find, in H band, a $\Delta M = 9.2$ mag at $0\farcs5$ and a $\Delta M = 11.7$ mag at $1''$. We recall that $0\farcs5$ corresponds, for our sample, to projected separations of 2-35 AU, $1''$ to projected separations of 4-70 AU.

Luhman & Jayawardhana (2002), observed 25 targets with NICMOS (Keck II) and found a ΔM $\sim$ 10-10.5 mag at $1''$ in the H band with an integration time of $\sim$ 18 minutes. This illustrates nicely that NACO is a well performing instrument for high-contrast imaging observations.

5. Discussion

5.1. Upper limit of the projected separation between the star and a potential planet

The detection limit estimates also permit us to calculate an upper limit of the projected separation between a star and a potential planet, as follows. We consider a typical 5M$_J$ planet, we calculate the theoretical value (retrieved by the models) of the star/planet contrast, we then place this value on the ordinates of the detection limit curve (see for example Fig.5) and finally we retrieve (on the abscissae) the distance beyond which we can affirm that such a planet should not exist (because it was not detected). Table 4 shows, for each target, such upper limits for the star/exo-planet distance. Table 5 shows the frequency at which we would be able to detect a 5M$_J$ planet at different distances from the parent star. By analysing these results from a statistical point of view, we can calculate (Fig.9) the cumulative distribution of the upper limit of the distance star/exo-planet for our sample of targets. The thin line represents the cumulative distribution obtained for 5M$_J$ planets. We find that (Fig.9), with respect to our sample of targets, in 50% of cases (median value), there are not planets at distances larger than 14 AU and, in 100% of cases, there are no planets at distances larger than 65 AU. We underline that we are considering a 5M$_J$ planet just as a reference, but the same calculation could be done for more and/or less massive planets in the range 3-10 M$_J$. In the case of more massive planets, the cumulative distribution should have a median value (50%) associated with an angular separation smaller than 14 AU. In the case of less massive planets, the cumulative distribution should have a median value (50 %) associated with an angular separation larger than 14 AU. To better appreciate the sensitivity with respect to the mass of the planets we also calculated the cumulative distribution for 10M$_J$ planets (Fig.9 - bold line). We find that, in 50% of the cases (median value) there are no planets at distances larger than 8.5 AU and in 100% of the cases there are not planets at distances larger than 36 AU. Table 4 and Table 5 show the upper limit of the projected star/planet separation and statistical results also for 10M$_J$ planets. The numbers given in these tables illustrate that it is relatively unlikely that a massive planet exists beyond a certain distance from the star. For example the fact that no 5M$_J$ planet was found for 18 of our targets beyond 20 AU (see Table4) means that the likelood for the existance of such planets beyond this distance is $\sim$ (1/18) % i.e. $\sim$ 5.6%. This last estimation is useful for the reader to have an idea of how much the size of the sample affects the statistical results. We recall that we are using the term *probability* in a less conventional way. A precise (statistically speaking) definition of *probability* should need some positive detection that we obviously do not have.

Which are the implications of these results with respect to models such as those cited in Section 1 (gravitational scattering model and outward migration), explaining the transfer of planets to large distances (some tens of AU) from the central star ? Our results indicate that the frequency of planet 'jumps' or outward migration is quite low. More precisely, it seems difficult for 10M$_J$ planets to find a stable orbit at distances larger than 16 AU, and that 5M$_J$ planets at distances larger than 26 AU, at least in the range of early ages that we considered.

We note that the statistical analysis presented in Fig.9 related to the 10M$_J$ planets is particularly interesting because, due to a steeper cumulative distribution concentrated at small projected separations from the central star, it permits us to better constrain the probability of location of planets. We also recall that such massive planets are rarely detected at distances smaller than 6 AU using radial velocity measurements. As described in the introduction, the radial velocity technique is sensitive only to planets orbiting at closer distances ($\lesssim$ 6 AU) from the central star. It is interesting to analyse what the direct imaging technique reveals about $10\mathrm{M}_J$ planets at distances larger than 6 AU. Looking at our results, we can state that, for most of the targets ($\sim$ 90% of cases), there are no $10\mathrm{M}_J$ planets at distances larger than $\sim$ 16 AU. We find that the probability to find planets equal or more massive than $10\mathrm{M}_J$ at large distances is low as well as the probability to find them at close distances ($\leq$ 6 AU) as appears from radial velocity surveys (Marcy et al. 2003). Massive planets are expected to form beyond the so called *'snow line'* distance ($\sim$ 5 AU for the solar-like stars[6]) (Lissauer, 1993) and from this distance they might migrate inward. Our study shows that, at least for late spectral type (K and M) stars, if such massive ($\sim 10\mathrm{M}_J$) planets form beyond the snow line, we can envisage the following possibilities: **(1)** massive planets form and can be found in the narrow range of distances *'snow line'* - 16 AU from the central star, **(2)** at distances larger than 16 AU only planets having masses smaller than $10\mathrm{M}_J$ can form and can be found, **(3)** if any $10\mathrm{M}_J$ planet would have formed beyond the *'snow line'*, the formation and inward migration necessarily happen on a time scale $\leq$ 10Myr. To test the hypotheses (1) and (2) one should improve the contrast at small angular separations. To test the hypothesis (3) one should select a sample of stars younger than ours. Unfortunately, stars having this property are placed preferably at distances $\geq$ 100 pc from the observer. Even future ground-based planet finders will be able to detect planets only at larger separations (a few tens of AU) from the central star if the targets are placed at such distances ($\geq$ 100 pc). For this reason, it would be probably more efficient and appropriate to observe them from space. In this way, one could by-pass the limitations imposed by the atmosphere on the attainable angular resolution and to probe the same projected separations (as those analysed in this paper) from these distant stars. The resolution reachable in space by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) is not good enough to probe such small physical distances. In a previous study (Brandner et al. 2000), a set of pre-main sequence T Tauri stars in the Chamaleon T (1-5 Myr at 150 pc) and Scorpius-Centaurus OB (5-15 Myr at 150 pc) associations were observed. Results showed that at 30 AU it would be possible to detect only more massive planets ($\sim 20\mathrm{M}_J$) than those we would detect with NACO (this paper). We should thus wait for a new generation of space telescope to probe such close distances at so early ages.

Other interesting issues can be retrieved by our analysis concerning the core-accretion model. Our results provide interesting information concerning mechanisms to explain planet formation. We are considering here the core-accretion model originally proposed by Pollack (1996) since it provides an explanation for the formation of all gaseous as well as solid planets[7]. It claims that a growing solid core, after reaching a critical mass, accretes a massive atmosphere. According to our results, this model could work, assuming that massive planets ($\geq 10\mathrm{M}_J$) form in a narrow 5-15 AU distance from the central star in a time scale of $\sim 10^6$ yr (typical time scale of disk lifetime). One of the critical aspects of the core-accretion model has always been that it can not explain the presence of planets observed with the radial velocity technique at close distances from the central star. The time necessary for the formation plus the migration should be longer than the typical disk lifetime ($\sim 10^6$ yr, Haisch et al. 2001). The modified version of the Pollack model, recently proposed by Alibert et al. (2004), seems to solve this problem. Using a detailed description of the composition (gas plus solid) of the disk this model reduces the time necessary for a planet to form and migrate by a factor of 10. With such a modification, the process of formation and migration might need a time shorter than the typical disk lifetime. Following the predictions of Alibert's model, it would be possible that we did not detect massive planets simply because they formed at ages ($\leq$ 10Myr) earlier than those of our selected sample. This means that our results are consistent with the core-accretion model in the Alibert formulation. This model seems thus promising due to the fact that it matches with both observational issues coming from radial velocity measurements and also from direct imaging observations.

---

[6] We underline that the *'snow line'* is equal to 5 AU for typical solar-like star. This distance is calculated for simple thermal equilibrium and is estimated equal to 1-2 AU for late type stars (Black 1980)

[7] We precise that the core-accretion model was proposed for solar-like star and our sample is done by young stars havinging later spectral type than solar-like stars.

We finally mention that our results indicate that a sort of *'exo-planet desert'* for massive ($\sim$ 10M_J) planets is observed at distances larger than 15 AU from the stars of our survey. This desert seems to extend to quite large distances from the central star. A recent survey (McCarthy & Zuckerman, 2004) carried out with the near-infrared camera NIRC and a coronograph at Keck did not detect any 5-12 M_J exo-planet in the range 75-300 AU around $\sim$ 42 stars having a mean age of 300 Myr.

5.2. Level of sensitivity: comparison with previous surveys

We would like to mention the relevant improvement in terms of sensitivity reached by our study (using NACO at the VLT) with respect to previous published results. A recent analysis of massive planet detection (Neuhäuser et al. 2003), carried out with the MPE Speckle Camera at the ESO's 3.5 m NTT telescope, put an upper limit of 60 AU on the distance between the star and 10M_J exo-planets in the case of targets belonging to β Pictoris ($\sim$12 Myr). Results from our study show that we can exclude any 10M_J planets at distances larger than 15 AU (a physical distance 4 times smaller) for targets belonging to the same moving group. Our results show a better sensititity also with respect to previous observations made with HST (Neuhäuser et al. 2002). Estimates provided by this study give an upper limit distance between the star and 5M_J planets of $\sim$ 50 AU. Stars observed by Neuhäuser et al. (2002) belong to a $\sim$2Myr old systems (well younger than our sample - 10-200 Myr). Models predict a mean difference in H and K band of the order of at least 3 mag between the age of stars in Neuhäuser et al. study and ours. We thus deduce that the same instrument (HST) would have an upper limit for the star/planet distance quite a bit larger than 50 AU for 5M_J planets in the 10-200 Myr age range.

5.3. Forthcoming observational strategy

How can we improve our results to better constrain planet-formation models ? It is evident that an increase of the achievable contrast at smaller angular separations shifts the median value of the cumulative distributions to smaller angular separations (Fig.9). This means that the upper limit of the projected star/exo-planet separation decreases. However, we note that, using the observational strategy employed in our survey, we can hardly improve the sensitivity at subarcsecond distances using a 8 meter class telescope as illustrated in Fig.10. This figure shows the detection limit obtained for one of our targets for an integration time of 5, 10 and 22 minutes. The deep image was filtered from low spatial frequencies before we calculated the detection limit. We observe that, beyond $1''$, the reachable contrast increases with increasing the integration time ($\sim$ 1 mag in 15 min). At distances smaller than $1''$, on the contrary, a longer integration time does not increase the contrast because we are strongly limited by the speckle noise.

The differential imaging technique proposed by Racine et al. (1999) is a rather efficient method to reduce the speckle noise at small angular separations from the central star. Images taken simultaneously at slightly different wavelengths are subtracted from each other. Since the speckle noise of both images is nearly the same[8], the speckle noise is eliminated in the resulting image providing one can sufficiently limit static aberrations. The differential technique finds a useful application in detecting cool methane-rich faint objects. Rosenthal et al. (1996) first proposed observations of the same star done simultaneously in two different wavelengths which are located *"on"* and *"off"* the methane (CH_4) absorption band. If one subtracts the image taken in the absorption band from the one taken outside the absorption band, planet/brown dwarf features appear in the continuum. The absorption at 1.62 μm, in the CH_4 band, is a clear signature of any object having temperature T $\leq$ 1300 K (giant exo-planets and T-type brown dwarfs).

---

[8] We precise that speckles of images taken at slightly different wavelengths match if frames are rescaled opportunely by a λ_2/λ_1 factor.

We can thus increase the sensitivity (contrast) at subarcsecond distances using the recently implemented NACO/SDI (Simultaneous Differential Imager) instrument. This instrument employs the differential technique in H band. Preliminary results (Close et al. 2004, Lenzen et al. 2004) indicate a $\Delta M \sim 11$ mag at $0\farcs5$ from the central star. Considering our averaged estimate of $\Delta M = 9.2$ mag in the H band (see Section 4.3), we should gain ~ 2 mag in contrast using NACO/SDI at $0\farcs5$ (see Fig.10).

A further and fundamental improvement in contrast should be attained by future ground-based "planet finders", i.e. instruments supported by AO systems providing a better Strehl Ratio. The contrast reachable by such an instrument at $0\farcs5$ should be $\Delta M \sim 17.5$ mag in J-H bands (see Fig.10). We refer in particular to the top level requirements of CHEOPS, a second generation instrument for the VLT conceived for planet detection (Gratton et al. 2004). We think that the principal goals of these instruments (NACO/SDI and ground-based planet finders[9]) in the context of the detection of massive and young exo-planets and the understanding of mechanisms responsible for exo-planet formation are the following: **(A)** They will permit us to provide answers to the hypothesis (1) and (2) (see Section 5.1). **(B)** They will be rather appropriate to do studies similar to the one proposed in this paper but applied to a sample of targets characterized by an earlier spectral type (i.e. solar-like type) in the same range of ages. This is due to the better contrast reachable at subarcsecond distances (see Fig.10). **(C)** They will be appropriate instruments to detect even fainter exo-planets (i.e. in the 1-5 M_J range) at subarcsecond angular separations from the central star.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have presented results of a survey carried out with NACO at the VLT aiming to detect massive exo-planets (3-10 M_J) orbiting around 30 young (10-200 Myr) and nearby ($d \leq 77$ pc with 70 % of them in the first 32 pc) stars.

No promising candidate was identified. All faint sources found in the vicinity of the central star are presumably background stars. A detailed estimate of the detection limit in 28 of the observed targets was presented. This permitted us to calculate the typical contrast (ΔM) between a planet and a central star at $0\farcs5$ and $1''$ provided by NACO for a typical integration time of 20-30 min (see Section 4.3), to present a statistical analysis of the upper limit for the star/planet separation and also to discuss our results in the framework of the mechanisms that are supposed to explain the formation of exo-planets.

Our most relevant result is that, in our sample of targets, in 100% of cases, no $10M_J$ (and more massive) exo-planets was detected at distances larger than 36 AU and no $5M_J$ (and more massive) exo-planets was detected at distances larger than 65 AU. If one considers the median values of the cumulative distributions we have that, in 50% of cases, no $10M_J$ (and more massive) exo-planets should exist at distances larger than 8.5 AU and no $5M_J$ (and more massive) exo-planets should exist at distances larger than 14 AU. We emphasize that, these statistical estimates were done for the projected star/exo-planet distance. We are not considering here the possibility that planets might not be visible in part of their orbit. Our study indicates that massive planets (mass $\geq 5M_J$) are rare at distances larger than 6 AU as well as at distances smaller than 6 AU as indicated by radial velocity estimates (see Section 5.1).

We underline that for the category of massive, warm and self-luminous planets that we looked for in our survey, it is not possible to calculate a reliable probability distribution for detecting planets. The reason is that, to do this, one should need to know the planet frequency distribution *'a posteriori'*. This is obviously impossible to do if no-planets have already been detected. For this category of planets, one can only define some merit functions (depending on several parameters such as the age, the distance from the observer, the magnitude of the central star, the mass of the planet) to define some criteria to select targets for which the detection should be particularly favourable. For this reason, we think that it is fundamental to report results (even of non-detections) for the category of exo-planets that we are searching for and to try to get as homogeneous analysis as possible of the results in order to improve the statistics of the upper limit for star/planet distance.

---

[9] We underline that, in the context of this paper, we are talking about the category of planets in which the intrinsic luminosity is quantitatively dominant over the reflected one. Planet finders will also be able to detect colder and older exo-planets for which the reflected light is dominant with respect to the intrinsic one. This other category of planets orbit in general at closer distances from the central star.

7. Appendix

GJ 803 (Au Mic):

Recent estimates of emission at optical wavelengths (Liu et al. 2004, Kalas et al. 2004) of a circumstellar disk surrounding GJ 803 (AU Mic) were published. The disk is detected between $\sim$ 50 and 210 AU corresponding to around $5''$ - $21''$ (d $\sim$ 10 pc). The inner region was occulted by the presence of a coronograph so no information is provided on the disk structure at distances smaller than $5''$. The field of view of our observations is much more smaller ($\sim 2''$ in radius around the central star) than that used for the disk detection. Within $\sim$ 50 AU, the typical time scale of dust is shorter than the stellar age so it was suggested (Kalas et al. 2004) that grains observed here must be continually replenished by the collisional erosion of much larger objects (like comets and asteroids). In the inner region of the disk, the presence of planetisimals might originate planets by accretion. Kalas et al. (2004) suggest that in the 2 - 30 AU region, the presence of gas giant planets should be possible. Our results indicate that no planets equal or more massive than 5M_J are detected at distances larger than 4 AU and no planets equal or more massive than 10M_J are detected at distances larger than 2 AU. Besides this, we can not exclude that some planets with mass smaller than 5M_J exist at such close angular separations. We have to wait for observations at higher contrast to have a more precise answer to this question. Also it was suggested (Liu et al. 2004) that the spatially localized enhancements and deficits found in the GJ 803 disk at distances larger than 15 AU from the star might be signposts of ongoing planet formation. Our observations can not infer any information at this large distance from the star.

We thank the ESO staff for their support during the observations. We also acknowledge I. Baraffe and A. Burrows for providing informations on their models and W. Brandner and A. Raga for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

Alonso, R., Brown, T., Torres, G., Latham, D.W., Sozzetti, A., Mandushev, G., Belmonte, J.A., Charbonneau, D., Deeg, H.J., Dunham, E.W., O'Donovan, F.T. & Stefanik, R.P. 2004, ApJ, 613, L153

Alibert, Y., Mordasini, C., Benz, W. 2004, A&A, 417, L25

Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T.S., Allard, F., Hauschild, P.H. 2003, A&A, 402, 701

Barrado y Navascues, D. 1998, A&A, 339, 831

Barrado y Navascues, D., Stauffer, J.R., Song, I., Caillault, J.P. 1999, ApJ, 520, L123

Barrado y Navascues, D. 1999, A&A, 402, 701

Barrado y Navascues, D. 2001, ASP, Conf. Vol. 244, , Ed. Jayawardhana R. and Greene T, San Francisco

Barrado y Navascues, Mohanty & Jayawardhana 2004, in prep.

Black, D.C. 1980, Icarus, 43, 293

Bouchy, F., Pont, F., Santos, N.C., Melo, C., Mayor, M., Queloz, D., Udry, S. 2004, A&A, 421, L13

Brandner, W., Zinneker, H., Alacalá, J.M., Allard, F., Covino, E., Frink, S., Köhler, R., Kunkel, M., Monetti, A, Schweitzer, A. 2000, AJ, 120, 950

Burrows, A., Marley, M., Hubbard, W.B., Lunine, J.I., Guillot, T., Saumon, D., Freedman, R., Sudarsky, D., Sharp, C. 1997 ApJ, 491, 856

Charbonneau, D., Brown, T.M., Latham, D.W., Mayor, M. 2000 ApJ, 529, L45, 2000

Close, L.M., Lenzen, R., Biller, B., Brandner, W., Hartung M., 2004, ESO Workshop "Science with AO", to be published

Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A.-M., Dumas, C., Zuckerman, B., Mouillet, D., Song, I., Beuzit, J.-L. and Lowrance, P. 2004, A&AL, 425, L29

Eggen, O.J. 1996 AJ, 111, 466

Favata, F., Micela, G., Sciortino, S. & D'Antona, F. 1998 A&A, 335, 218

Ford, E.B., Havlickova, M., Rasio, F.A. 2001 Icarus, 150, 303

Gratton, R., Feldt, M., Schmid, H.M., Brandner, W., Hippler, S., Neuhäuser, R., Quirrenbach, A., Desidera, S., Turatto, M., Stam, D.M. 2004, SPIE, 5492, 1010

Haisch, K.E., Lada, E.A. & Lada, C.J. 2001, ApJ, 553, L153

Kaisler, D., Zuckerman, B., Becklin, E. 2003, ASP Conf. Series, 294, 91

Kalas, P., Liu, M.C., Matthews, B.C. 2004, Science, 303, 1990

Konacki, M., Torres, G., Sasselov, D., Pietrzynski, G., Udalski, A., Jha, S., Ruiz, M.T., Gieren, W., Minniti, D., 2004 ApJL, submitted (astro-ph/0404541)

Jeffries, R.D. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 559

Lenzen R. et al., 1998, *SPIE*, 3354, 606

Lenzen, R., Close, L.M., Brandner, W., Hartung M., Biller, B.,2004, ESO Workshop "Science with AO", to be published

Lissauer, J.J. 1993, ARA&A,31, 129

Liu, M.C. 2004, Science, 305, 1442

Luhman, K.L. & Jayawardhana, R. 2002, ApJ, 566, 1132

Macintosh, B.A., Max, C., Zuckerman, B., Becklin, E.E., Kaisler, D., Lowrance, P., Weinberger, A. 2001, ASP Conferences Series, 244, 309

McCarty, C. & Zuckerman, B. 2004, ApJ, 127, 2871

Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P., Fischer, D.A., Vogt, S.S. 2003 ASP Conf., 294, 1

Mayor, M. & Queloz, D. 1995, ApJ, 378, 355

Montes, D., López-Santiago J., Gálvez, M.C., Fernández-Figueroa, M.J., De Castro E., Cornide, M. 2001, MNRAS, 328, 45

Neuhäuser, R., Brandner, W., Alves, J., Joergens, V., Comeron, F. 2002, A&A, 384, 999

Neuhäuser, R., Brandner, W., Eckart, A., Guenther, E.W., Alves, J., Ott, Th., Huélamo, N., Fernández, M. 2003, A&A, 354, L9

Neuhäuser, R., Guenther, E.W., Alves, J., Huélamo, N., Ott, Th., Eckart, A. 2003, Astron. Nachr., 324, 535

Papaloizou J.C.B. & Terquem, C. 2001, MNRAS, 325, 221

Perryman, M.A.C., Lindegren, L., Kovalesky, J. et al. 1997, A&A, 323, L49

Pollack, J.B., Hubickyj, O., Bodenheimer, P., Lissauer, J.L., Podolak, M., Greenzweig, Y. 1996 Icarus, 124, 62

Pont, F., Bouchy, F., Queloz, D., et al. 2004 A&A, in press

Poveda, A., Herrera, M.A., Allen, C., Cordero., G., Lavalley, C. 1994, RMxAA, 28, 43

Racine R., Walker G.A.H., Nadeau D., Doyon R., Marois C. 1999, PASP, 111, 587

Rasio, F.A., Ford, E.B. 1996, Science, 274, 954

Rosenthal, E.D., Gurwell, M.A., Ho, P.T.P 1996, Nature, 384, 243

Rousset G. et al. 2000, Proc. SPIE, 4007, 72

Song, I., Zuckerman, B., Bessel, M.S. 2003, ApJ, 599, 342

Stauffer, J.R., Hartmann, L.W., Barrado y Navascues, D. 1995, ApJ, 454, 910

Thommes E.W., Duncan, M.J., Levison, H. 1999, Nature, 402, 635

Thommes E.W., Duncan, M.J., Levison, H. 2002, AJ, 123, 2862

Torres, G., Konacki, M., Sasselov, D.D., Jha, S. 2004, The search for other worlds: Fourteenth Astrophysics Conference - AIP Conference Proceedings, 713, 165

Veras, D. & Armitage, P.J. 2004, MNRAS, 347, 613

Webb, R.A., Zuckerman, B., Platais, I, Pacience, J., WHite, R.J., Schwartz, M.J., McCarthy, C. 1999, ApJ, 559, 388

Weidenschilling, S. J. & Marzari, F. 1996, Nature, 384, 619

Wichmann, R., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., Hubrig, S. 2003, A&A, 399, 983

Zuckerman, B. & Webb, R.A. 2000, ApJ, 535, 959

Zuckerman, B., Song, I., Bessel, M.S., Webb, R.A. 2001a, ApJ, 562, L87

Zuckerman, B., Song, I., Webb, R.A. 2001b, ApJ, 559, 388

This 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Fig. 1.— Theoretical absolute magnitudes in the K and H bands vs. planet mass (in Jupiter mass units) according to the COND models of Baraffe et al. (2003). The light lines (green in on-line version) refer to the H band and the dark lines (black in on-line version) to the K band. The full thin line represents an age of 10 Myr, the dotted thin line an age of 30 Myr, the dot-dashed bold line an age of 100 Myr.

Fig. 2.— Two deep images obtained through the H band filter (BD +2° 1729 on the left, BD +1° 2447 on the right). Both images have been processed using a high pass spatial frequency filter. Three point-like sources (A, B and C) are visible at the same position, at around $1''$ from the central star in both images and in all other deep H band images. This clearly indicates that these point-like sources are ghost images produced by the H band filter.

Fig. 3.— Left: a point source (marked with a circle) is visible at around 30 AU from the star SAO 252852 (galactic latitude -4.6°). The deep image was processed using a high pass spatial frequency filter. A, B and C indicate the ghost images due to the H band filter (see Fig.2). Right: several point sources (marked with circles) are detected at several tens of AU from the star V343 Nor (galactic latitude -1.9°). In this picture the deep image is not processed with a high pass spatial frequency filter because all point sources appear at distances larger than $2''$ from the central star. The encircled point sources are probably background stars since both stars are at low galactic latitudes.

Fig. 4.— **(a)** Deep image of V343 Nor ($2\rlap{.}''7$ x $2\rlap{.}''7$) obtained in the Ks band ($\sim$ 22 min) and processed with a high pass spatial frequency filter. The PSFs of two 5M$_J$ planets ('artificial planets') were obtained by scaling a non-saturated PSF of the central star. Two artificial planets were placed at $0\rlap{.}''7$ and $1''$ from the central star. **(b)** The same as (a) but using a different filtering method. **(c)** Contrast expressed in ΔM (at 5σ) vs. angular separation derived from the filtered images shown in (a) and (b). The dashed line represents the detection limit of the non-filtered PSF of the star, the dotted and thin full lines represent the detection limit of the PSF of the star filtering out low spatial frequencies by using the two different methods shown in (a) and (b) (see text). The x-axis projection of the black dot represents the minimum angular separation at which a 5M$_J$ planet should be detectable. The $0\rlap{.}''7$ value corresponds to the visible planet in the (a) and (b) pictures. The grey scale is arbitrary, the contrast in the picture (b) is better than in picture (a).

Fig. 5.— Planet detection limit (or contrast) expressed in ΔM (at 5σ) vs. angular separation for 9 observed stars. The dashed line refers to the non-filtered images, while the full thin line and dotted line refer to the images processed by a high spatial frequency filter using two different methods (see text). ET represents the total exposure time. The exposure time of the single frame (DIT) is given in Table 3. No particular criterion is used for the order of the targets in this figure as well as in Fig.6, Fig.7 and Fig.8.

Fig. 6.— As Fig.5 for further 9 stars. The bumps visible in GJ 799A and BD −17°6128 corresponds to the companion of the binary system.

Fig. 7.— As Fig.5 for further 9 stars.

Fig. 8.— As Fig.5 for further 2 targets.

Fig. 9.— Cumulative distribution of the upper limit for the projected star/planet separation calculated with our sample of targets. Thin line: $5M_J$, bold line: $10M_J$. This distribution implies that in 50% of cases (median value) we have not found any $5M_J$ planets at distances larger than 14 AU and no $10M_J$ planets at distances larger than 8.5 AU.

Fig. 10.— Detection limit vs. angular separation of one target of the survey obtained with integration times of 5, 10 and 22 min. The two horizontal bars placed at $0\farcs5$ mark the expected contrast reachable with SDI/NACO and CHEOPS (VLT planet finder - see text).

TABLE 1

POPERTIES OF THE CENTRAL STAR[a]

Targets	α (2000) (h m s)	δ (2000) (○ ' ")	ST	D pc	V (mag)	Group Ass.	Age (Myr)	Gal. Lat. (°)	Refer.[b]
Hip 2729	00 34 51.2	-61 54 58	K5V	49.5	9.56	Tuc. Ass.	35	-55	(5),(6),(7)
HD 17925	02 52 32.1	-12 46 11	K1	10.4	6.0	local ass.	50	-58	(8),(12)
GJ 179	04 52 05.7	06 28 35	M3.5	12.1	11.96		200	-23	(2)
GJ 182	04 59 34.8	01 47 01	M0.5	26.7	10.1		35	-24	(2),(10)
Hip 23309	05 00 47.1	-57 15 26	K7V	26.3	10.09	Beta Pic.	12	-37	(3),(10),(13)
GJ 207.1	05 33 44.8	01 56 43	M2.5	16.8	11.5		50	-2	(1),(2)
AO Men	06 18 28.2	-72 02 42	K5V	38.5	10.09	Beta Pic.	12	-28	(3),(10),(13)
BD +2° 1729	07 39 23.0	02 01 01	K7	9.8	9.82	local ass.	100	12	(2)
LQ Hya	09 32 25.6	-11 11 05	K0V	18.3	7.80		50	28	(1),(8)
TWA 6A	10 18 28.8	-31 50 02	K7	77.0	12.0	TW Hya.	10	21	(4),(5)
BD 1° 2447	10 28 55.5	00 50 28	M2	7.2	9.63	local ass.	100	47	(1),(2)
TWA 5B ($2''$)	11 31 55.4	-34 36 27	M8.5	50.0	-	TW Hya.	10	25	(4),(5)
TWA 8A ($13''$)	11 32 41.5	-26 51 55	M2	21.0	(R=11.2)	TW Hya.	10	33	(4),(5)
TWA 8B	11 32 41.5	-26 51 55	M5	21.0	(R=13.85)	TW Hya.	10	33	(4),(5)
TWA 9A[c] ($9''$)	11 48 24.2	-37 28 49	M1	64.0[d]	11.3	TW Hya.	10	24	(4),(5)
TWA 9B	11 48 24.2	-37 28 49	M1	64.0[d]	(R=12.98)	TW Hya.	10	24	(4),(5)
SAO 252852	14 42 28.1	-64 58 43	K5V	16.4	8.50		100	-4	(2),(9)
V343 Nor	15 38 57.6	-57 42 27	K0V	39.8	8.14	Beta Pic.	12	-2	(3),(10),(13)
V2306 Oph	16 30 18.1	-12 39 45	M3.5	4.4	10.12	local ass.	100	24	(2)
HD155555 AB[e]	17 17 25.5	-66 57 02	G5IV	31.4	7.20	Beta Pic.	-16	24	(3),(10),(13)
HD 155555 C	17 17 25.5	-66 57 00	M4.5	31.4	12.71	Beta Pic.	12	-16	(3),(10),(13)
CD -64° 1208	18 45 36.9	-64 51 48	M0	29.2	9.20	Beta Pic.	12	-24	(3),(10),(13)
PZ Tel	18 53 05.9	-50 10 50	K0	49.6	8.42	Beta Pic./Tuc. Ass.	12	-21	(3)
GJ 799A ($2.''8$)	20 41 51.1	-32 26 07	M4.5	10.2	11.0	Beta Pic.	12	-36	(3),(10),(13)
GJ 799B	20 41 51.1	-32 26 09	M4.5	10.2	11.0	Beta Pic.	12	-36	(3),(10),(13)
GJ 803 (Au Mic)	20 45 09.3	-31 20 24	M0	9.9	8.61	Beta Pic.	12	-37	(3),(10),(13)
BD -17° 6128 ($2.''2$)	20 56 02.7	-17 10 54	K7	47.7	10.60	Beta Pic.	12	-35	(3),(10),(13)
GJ 813	20 57 25.4	22 21 45	M2	13.6	12.0		200	-15	(2)
GJ 890	23 08 19.5	-15 24 35	M0	21.8	10.84		100	-63	(1),(2),(11)
HD 221503	23 32 49.4	-16 50 44	K5	13.9	8.60	local ass.	$\geq$ 100-200	-69	(1),(2),(9)

[a] egend, from the left to the right: name of the target, right ascension, declination, spectral type, distance of the target, magnitude in V band, name of the association or group to which the target belongs, age, galactic latitude, references

[b] Ref. related to the distance and age of the central star: (1) Barrado y Navascués priv. comun.; (2) Montes et al. 2001; (3) Zuckerman et al. 2001a; (4) Webb et al. 1999; (5) Song et al. 2003; (6) Zuckerman & Webb 2000; (7) Zuckerman et al. 2001b; (8) Wichmann et al. (2003); (9) Poveda et al. (1994); (10) Barrado y Navascues et al. (1999); (11) Barrado y Navascues 1998; (12) Favata et al. (1998); (13) Barrado y Navascues 2001

[c] Based on their location in IR color-magnitude or HR diagrams, both TWA9A and TWA9B might be older than other members of the TWA association whose parallaxes have been measured by Hipparcos (Barrado y Navascues, Mohanty & Jayawardhana (2004))

[d] A mean value of trigonometric and photometric distance was used (see (5))

[e] Spectroscopic binary (MacIntosh et al. 2001)

TABLE 2

INFRARED MAGNITUDE FOR THE CENTRAL STAR AND THE POSSIBLE PLANETARY COMPANION(a)

Targets	$m_{s,K}^{(b)}$ (mag)	$m_{s,H}^{(b)}$ (mag)	$m_{(5M_J,K)}$ (mag)	$m_{(5M_J,H)}$ (mag)	$\Delta(M_K)$ (mag)	$\Delta(M_H)$ (mag)	$(H\text{-}K)_{5M_J}$ (mag)
Hip 2729	5.96	6.13	18.60	18.75	12.64	12.62	-0.02
HD 17925	3.50	3.60	16.28	15.99	12.78	12.39	-0.4
GJ 179	7.77	8.01	20.24	18.31	12.47	10.30	-2.17
GJ 182	6.49	6.66	17.25	17.40	10.76	10.74	-0.02
Hip 23309	6.37	6.54	15.19	15.59	8.82	9.05	0.23
GJ 207.1	7.20	7.41	17.33	17.04	10.13	9.63	-0.29
AO Men	7.80	7.97	15.98	16.38	8.18	8.41	0.23
BD +2° 1729	6.61	6.76	18.11	16.98	11.50	10.22	-1.28
LQ Hya	5.97	6.07	17.51	17.23	11.54	11.16	-0.38
TWA 6A(c)	7.97	8.17	17.35	17.65	9.38	9.48	0.15
BD 1° 2447	5.58	5.78	17.44	16.31	11.86	10.53	-1.33
TWA 5B (2″)	11.5	11.95	16.41	16.71	4.91	4.76	-0.15
TWA 8A (13″)	7.44	7.72	14.53	14.83	7.09	7.11	0.14
TWA 8B	9.01	9.36	14.53	14.83	5.47	5.52	0.00
TWA 9A (9″)	7.68	7.95	16.95	17.25	9.27	9.30	0.1
TWA 9B	9.14	9.34	16.95	17.25	7.81	7.91	0.1
SAO 252852	5.68	5.85	19.22	18.09	13.54	12.24	-1.3
V343 Nor	6.31	6.41	16.05	16.46	9.74	10.05	0.31
V2306 Oph	5.93	6.17	16.30	15.17	10.37	9.00	-1.37
HD155555 AB	5.62	5.7	15.54	15.94	9.92	10.24	0.32
HD 155555 C	7.25	7.51	15.54	15.94	8.29	8.43	0.14
CD -64° 1208	5.73	5.88	15.38	15.78	9.65	9.90	0.25
PZ Tel	6.69	6.79	16.54	16.94	9.85	10.15	0.3
GJ 799A (2″.8)	5.54	5.8	13.10	13.50	7.56	7.70	0.14
GJ 799B	5.54	5.8	13.10	13.50	7.56	7.70	0.14
GJ 803 (Au Mic)	5.00	5.15	13.03	13.44	8.03	8.29	0.26
BD -17° 6128 (2″.2)	7.13	7.28	16.45	16.85	9.32	9.57	0.25
GJ 813	7.95	8.15	20.50	18.57	12.55	10.42	-2.13
GJ 890	7.37	7.52	19.84	18.71	12.47	11.19	-1.13
HD 221503	5.78	5.95	$\geq$ 20.55	$\geq$ 18.62	$\geq$14.77	$\geq$12.67	$\geq$ -2.10

(a)egend, from the left to the right: name of the target, magnitude of the central star in K band ($m_{s,K}$) and in H band ($m_{s,H}$), magnitude of a potential planet (retrieved from COND models) having a 5M$_J$ mass in K band ($m_{p,K}$) and in H band ($m_{p,H}$), contrast star/planet in K and in H band, color (H-K).

(b)Calculated from V and spectral type

(c)The magnitudes in H and K of all the targets belonging to TWA Hydrae refer to Webb et al. (1999).

Table 3

Observational and instrumental parameters(a)

Targets	Narrow-band	Broad-band	DIT. - Broad-band (sec)	Exp.Time - Broad-band (min)	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)
Hip 2729	NB 2.12	K_s	1.30	$\sim$ 28	23/07/2003
HD 17925	IB 2.09	IB 2.24	1.70	$\sim$ 23	22/07/2003
GJ 179	NB 1.64	H	1.74	$\sim$ 36	26/12/2003
GJ 182	NB 2.12	K_s	1.30	$\sim$ 35	26/12/2003
Hip 23309	NB 2.12	K_s	2.06	$\sim$ 20	23/07/2003
GJ 207.1	K_s/ND Short	K_s	9.00	$\sim$ 30	08/01/2004
AO Men	NB 2.12	K_s	2.50	$\sim$ 20	17/02/2003
BD +2° 1729	NB 1.64	H	1.00	$\sim$ 17	19/02/2003
LQ Hya	NB 2.12	K_s	0.80	$\sim$ 23	09/01/2004
TWA 6A	NB 2.12	K_s	5.00	$\sim$ 14	09/01/2004
BD 1° 2447	H/ND Short	H	0.36	$\sim$ 21	09/01/2004
TWA 5B (2$''$)	NB 2.12	K_s	5.00	$\sim$ 31	17/02/2003
TWA 8A (13$''$)	NB 2.12	K_s	4.20	$\sim$ 19	17/02/2003
TWA 8B	K_s/ND Short	K_s	19.00	$\sim$ 22	17/02/2003
TWA 9A (9$''$)	K_s/ND Short	K_s	21.00	$\sim$ 25	18/02/2003
TWA 9B	K_s/ND Short	K_s	21.00	$\sim$ 25	18/02/2003
SAO 252852	NB 1.64	H	0.40	$\sim$ 24	21/07/2003
V343 Nor	NB 2.12	K_s	1.90	$\sim$ 22	19/02/2003
V2306 Oph	NB 2.12	K_s	1.30	$\sim$ 25	19/02/2003
HD155555 AB	NB 2.12	K_s	1.20	$\sim$ 8	18/02/2003
HD 155555 C	NB 2.12	K_s	5.40	$\sim$ 38	20/07/2003
CD -64° 1208	NB 2.12	K_s	1.10	$\sim$ 24	22/07/2003
PZ Tel	NB 2.12	K_s	1.56	$\sim$ 30	23/07/2003
GJ 799A ($2''\!.8$)	NB 2.12	K_s	0.58	$\sim$ 23	21/07/2003
GJ 799B	NB 2.12	K_s	0.58	$\sim$ 23	21/07/2003
GJ 803 (Au Mic)	Ks/ND Short	K_s	0.36	$\sim$ 30	21/07/2003
BD -17° 6128 ($2''\!.2$)	NB 2.12	K_s	2.50	$\sim$ 27	21/07/2003
GJ 813	NB 1.64	H	0.70	$\sim$ 28	23/07/2003
GJ 890	NB 2.12	K_s	3.00	$\sim$ 24	21-22/07/2003
HD 221503	NB 1.64	H	0.70	$\sim$ 22	22/07/2003

(a) egend, from the left to the right: name of the target, narrow-band filter, broadband-band filter, exposure time of the single frame, total exposure time, observations date.

Table 4

Upper limit of the star/planet projected separation in the cases of a $5M_J$ and a $10M_J$ planet.

Targets	Age (Myr)	D (pc)	proj. sep.-AU ($5M_J$)	proj. sep.-AU ($10M_J$)
Hip 2729	35	49.5	64	36
GJ 179	200	12.1	9	5
GJ 182	35	26.7	19	11
Hip 23309	12	26.3	11	7
AO Men	12	38.5	13	9
BD +2° 1729	100	9.8	8	4
LQ Hya	50	18.3	22	8
TWA 6A	10	77.0	52	25
BD 1° 2447	100	7.2	6	3
TWA 5B	10	50.0	10	7
TWA 8A	10	21.0	7	3
TWA 8B	10	21.0	7	2
TWA 9A	10	64.0	38	19
TWA 9B	10	64.0	22	13
SAO 252852	100	16.4	19	10
V343 Nor	12	39.8	24	12
V2306 Oph	100	4.4	4	1
HD 155555 AB	12	31.4	22	11
HD 155555 C	12	31.4	13	8
CD -64° 1208	12	29.2	15	9
PZ Tel	12	49.6	26	15
GJ 799 A	12	10.2	4	2
GJ 799 B	12	10.2	6	2
GJ 803 (Au Mic)	12	9.9	4	2
BD -17° 6128	12	47.7	25	14
GJ 813	200	13.6	8	4
GJ 890	100	21.8	26	14
HD 221503	$\geq$ 100-200	13.9	17	9

Table 5

Statistical Results$^{(a)}$

Cases $5M_J$	AU	Cases $10M_J$	AU
10 cases	$4 \leq r < 10$	9 cases	$1 \leq r < 5$
8 cases	$10 \leq r < 20$	8 cases	$5 \leq r < 10$
8 cases	$20 \leq r < 40$	9 cases	$10 \leq r < 20$
2 cases	$40 \leq r < 65$	2 cases	$20 \leq r < 36$

$^{(a)}$In the first and third columns the number of cases in which a $5M_J$ and a $10M_J$ exo-planet would have been detected in our survey.